Ministry before the increase of prices of price decontrol medicines during 1991-92 and how many break ups were examined and prices found justified?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OP CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a), (b) and (d) Being price decontrolled, no limit on price increase or MAPE charged has been announced. Monitoring is done on price increases on the basis of available data and internal guidelines to keep prices with in reasonable limits.

(c) It is not correct to say that the system is personalised and arbitrary. Instances warranting intervention come to the notice of the Government not only from the information submitted by the companies in various forms prescribed in DPCO, 1987, but also rrom the data available tfrom other sources. Companies, thereafter, are asked to submit the required information if it has not submitted the same in normal course.

(e) Decontrolled medicines and their pack sizes being very large in number, the time and labour involved in the collection and compilation of this voluminous information will not b© commensurate with the results likely to be tchieved.

Time for submitting data by drug company

381. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 413 given in Rajya Sabha on the 27th February, 1992 and state:

(a) whether in the Bombay High Court order dated the 8th March, 1991, time for the company to submit all the data was envisaged;

(b) whether it is a fact that hearing was also to be given within a specified time
. thereafter;

(c) if so, what was the directive in this regard;

(d) if the company has not given the details why the matter has not been reported to the High Court and liberty taken to recover the amount without any further hearing:

(e) whether the liability of Rs. 77.81 crores includes this liability; and

(f) what steps Government have taken to fiv up the responsibility of bureaucrats for delay in recovery of the amount involved even in accordance with the court order?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (f) As submitted in reply to Utt-starred Question No. 413 answered on 27th February, 1992, the' hearing was proposed to be given to the company in the last week of March, 1992 The company has, however, submitted a detailed representation which is under examination. The tentative liability of Rs. 77.81 crores communicated earlier to the company does not take into account the impact of the data yet to be received from the company. AU efforts are being made to expedite the entire process of consideration, hearing and passing of speaking orders in this case.

Cases of recovery into the Drug Price Equalisation Account

382. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred question 1157 given in Rajya Sabha on 5th March, 1992 and state:

(a) what are the details of cases of recovery into the DPEA where companies have been informed that if they do not pay the amounts due, their oases would be referred for recovery as arrears of land revenue through the Collector concerned;

(b) when was each such communication sent what is the response from each company;

(c) whether it is a fact that officials of his Ministry are jiving hearings to the companies and are advising them not to pay the amount due; and

(d) what steps Government propose to take to investigate the whole able matter and by when?